### THE SEARCH FOR BLAZAR-LIKE, RADIO LOUD NARROW-LINE SEYFERT 1 GALAXIES

H. Richard Miller Georgia State University

B2 1702+457

Image credit: H. R. Miller

# <u>Quote</u> Who, When, and Where??

- Variability timescales provide limits on the size of the emitting region "...unless there is relativistic bulk motion of the emitting material."
- "Among the most distressing observations that astronomers have made in recent years are those of the extremely rapid flux variations and apparent faster-than-light structural changes in an increasing number of compact extragalactic radio sources."

### Collaborators

- Joseph Eggen
- Jeremy Maune
- Clay Turner
- Diana Gudkova
- Elizabeth Ferarra

### Observations

Table 2.2: Summary of Optical Data by Telescope

(Number of allocated nights are in parentheses)

Telescope	<b>Observing Nights</b>	Observations
Whole Sample	285	11,920
Lowell 31"	80 (169)	3,903
Lowell 42"	57 (91)	3,678
Lowell 72"	68 (151)	4,183
SMARTS 1.3m	138	156

### Motivation

- A small number of vRL NLSy1 galaxies in the Yuan et al. (2008) sample have been identified as gamma sources with Fermi.
- Are there more vRL NLSy1s that emit gamma-rays? (Reminds one of the early days of BL Lac Object studies)
- What are their properties?
- Do they form another class of blazars?

### Goals

- Monitor the Yuan et al(2008) and Komossa et al(2006) sample of vRL NLSy1s (34) for optical flux and polarization variability.
- Select candidates from the sample based upon blazar-like optical flux and polarization variations (including microvariability).
- How do the properties of the selected vRL NLS1s compare to those of blazars?

### Gamma Ray Emission

- Key element in demonstrating blazar-like character
- Normally requires TS > 25, Eggen (2014), Eggen, Miller, Maune(2016)
- Provisional identification with 9 < TS < 25;Eggen (2014),Eggen, Miller, Maune(2016) if also accompanied by the following properties:
  - Optical Variability (and microvariability)
  - Strong/variable optical polarization
  - Positional coincidence with strong radio source

### Sample collected from Yuan et al.(2008) and Komossa et al.(2006)

Object ID	R.A.	Dec.	Z	log(R)
J0100-0200	01:00:32.22	-02:00.46.00	0.227	1.77
IRAS 01506+2554	01:53:28.262	26:09:39.232	0.326	1.40
1H 0323+342	03:24:41.16	34:10:45.86	0.063	2.50
J0706+3901	07:06:25.12	39:01:51.55	0.086	1.21
J0723+5054	07:23:02.33	50:54:48.00	0.203	1.29
J0744+5149	07:44:02.28	51:49:17.50	0.460	1.62
J0804+3853	08:04:09.240	38:53:48.829	0.211	1.18
J0849+5108	08:49:57.977	51:08:29.023	0.583	3.16
IRAS 09426+1926	09:45:29.22	19:15:48.70	0.284	1.56
PMN J0948+0022	09:48:57.317	00:22:25.511	0.584	2.93
J0956+2515	09:56:49.874	25:15:16.196	0.712	3.56
J1038+4227	10:38:59.582	42:27:42.213	0.220	1.30
J1047+4725	10:47:32.688	47:25:32.010	0.800	4.09
J1102+2239	11:02:23.383	22:39:20.686	0.453	1.28
J1140+4622	11:40:47.897	46:22:04.791	0.115	1.36
J1146+3236	11:46:54.289	32:36:52.384	0.465	2.19
J1227+3214	12:27:49.14	32:14:59.00	0.137	2.16
J1246+0238	12:46:50.20	02:40:16.00	0.091	2.38
J1333+4141	13:33:45.469	41:41:27.656	0.225	1.06
J1358+2658	13:58 45.371	26:58:08.483	0.330	1.11
J1405+2657	14:05:04.803	26:57:27.539	0.713	1.09
J1421+2824	14:21:14.057	28:24:52.899	0.538	2.14
J1435+3132	14:35:09.502	31:31:47.971	0.502	2.98
J1443+4725	14:43:18.558	47:25:56.663	0.703	3.03
PKS 1502+036	15:05:06.477	03:26:30.796	0.411	3.53
RX 16290+4007	16:29:01.306	40:07:59.941	0.272	1.61
J1633+4718	16:33:23.57	47:18:58.83	0.116	2.19
J1644+2619	16:44:42.534	26:19:13.305	0.145	2.73
B3 1702+457	17:03:30.41	45:40:47.08	0.061	2.01
J1709+2348	17:09:07.810	23:48:37.760	0.254	1.06
J1713+3523	17:13:04.462	35:23:33.646	0.083	1.05
IRAS 20181-2244	20:21:04.064	-22:35:25.775	0.185	1.57
J2314+2243	23:14:55.89	22:43:22.69	0.169	1.25

Object ID	R.A.	Dec.	$\log(R)$	Bin $\#$	TS	$\operatorname{Flux}(\operatorname{err})$
1H 0323+342	51.2096	34.143	2.50	53	280.31	36.19(2.73)
J0849+5108	132.492	51.1414	3.16	35	790.76	26.34(2.20)
J0948+0022	147.221	0.349773	2.93	53	402.14	39.81(2.32)
J0956 + 2515	149.208	25.2545	3.56	20	95.95	10.24(2.34)
J1443+4725	220.827	47.4324	3.03	49-53	27.81	1.49(0.06)
PKS 1502 $+036$	226.292	3.40703	3.53	43	36.60	9.58(2.71)
J1644+2619	251.177	26.3204	2.73	46-50	52.25	4.24(1.04)
PKS 2004-447	301.98	-44.579	3.80	13-24	53.77	2.26(0.52)

Object ID	R.A.	Dec.	$\log(R)$	Bin $\#$	TS	$\operatorname{Flux}(\operatorname{err})$
J0100-0200	15.1342	-2.01278	1.77	8	9.65	5.87(0.56)
				25	9.52	3.17(2.23)
J0706 + 3901	106.605	39.031	1.21	23	10.30	0.76(.15)
				66	10.50	1.10(0.36)
J0804 + 3853	121.038	38.8969	1.18	34-36	10.77	8.49(0.15)
J1102 + 2239	165.597	22.6558	1.28	15-20	14.57	1.29(0.81)
J1146 + 3236	176.726	32.6145	2.19	5	12.61	3.58(1.66)
J1246 + 0238	191.709	2.67123	2.38	55-66	17.13	1.58(0.60)
J1713 + 3523	258.269	35.3926	1.05	22	12.03	6.21(0.23)
IRAS 20182-2244	305.268	-22.5884	1.57	9	9.42	2.24(1.89)
J2314 + 2243	348.732	22.7236	1.25	50	11.59	5.05(2.54)

BL Lac Objects(BA): Strittmatter et al(1972) Featureless opt. spect. Non-thermal SED Highly variable on all observed timescales and wavelengths Polarized optical emission Radio loud and many detected in gamma-rays



Image: J1102+2239

### What are Radio-Loud NLSy1?

• Radio-Loud:  $R \ge 10$  (where  $R = f_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} / f_{4400 \text{ Å}}$ ) (Kellerman et al., 1989)

- Very Radio Loud:  $R \ge 100$  (Yuan et al., 2008)
- NLS1 (Osterbrock & Pogge, 1985):
  - Disk-like Host Galaxy -> Spiral?
  - Low Mass SMBH, High Accretion Rate
  - FWHM(Hβ) ≤ 2000 km/s
  - Strong emission from Fe II (Goodrich, 1989)

 Evidence suggests that a subset of this class possess properties similar to those of blazars

# J0948+0022: Radio and Gamma-Ray Loud



#### Image credit: Abdo et al. 2009a

### J0948+0022: SED



Image credit: Abdo et al. 2009b

### Hypothesis: vRL NLSy1s are blazar-like objects

- Sample of 34 NLSy1s (Yuan et al, 2008) (Komossa et al, 2006)
  - All are radio loud
  - Range of radio loudness
    log(R) = ~1.0 4.0
- Objects should show blazar-like flux and polarization variability.



Hubble image of 1H 0323+342, one of the two closest objects in the sample.



- Rapid Variability of Optical Flux
- Microvariability (discrete, rapid events)
- Optical Polarization
- Gamma Ray LC



### J0948+0022: Microvariability



#### Over 0.9 magnitude variation in less than one hour.

#### PMN J0948+0022 Flux doubling time = 4.39+/-0.19 hours



#### PMN J0948+0022

Flux halving time = 3.60+/-0.23 hours

(Small event duration ~1.4 hours)



#### PMN J0948+0022: Gamma Ray/ Optical Data





Figure 3.1: PMN J0948+0022: multi-wavelenght data

A comparison of all R-band optical data binned at 24-hour intervals (top panel), only optical data obtained with polarimetry (second panel), the Percent Polarization (third panel), position of the electric vector in degrees (fourth panel), and the integrated γ-ray flux (bottom panel) of PMN J0948+0022. Upper limits have been removed for clarity. Details on the photopolarimetric data can be found in Table 3.2. The same horizontal axis is common to all five plots.

#### PMN J0948+0022: Orphan optical/IR flare??



### J0948+0022: Summary

- Extragalactic source
- Double peaked SED
- Radio loud
- Variable Polarization



Image: IRAS 20181-2244

- Detected at gamma-ray energies
- Highly variable on all observed timescales and wavelengths

### J0849+5108



Maune, Eggen , Miller et al 2014





Maune, Eggen, Miller,et al., 2014



### J0849+5108: SED at various



Figure taken from D'Ammando 2012. Black triangles are new data from Maune et al. (2014)

### 1H 0323+342

- Paliya et al. (MNRAS, 428, 2450, 2013)
  - Microvariations
- Paliya et al.(ApJ, 789, 143, 2014)
  - Microvariations
  - Rapid gamma-ray variability(3hr /3x-time)





# Full Sample

- Microvariations/DC
- Polarization Variations
- Gamma Ray Detection



Figures from Miller and Noble, 19.20

.08

.10 .11 .12 .13 .14 .15 .16 .17 .18 .19

Amplitude (Am) (mog)

.20 >.20

.01 .02 .03 .04 .05 .06 .07 .08 .09

.10 .11 .12 .13 .14 .15 .16

Amplitude (Am)

(mag)

### Microvariability: Duty Cycles

	Approximate	Approximate Maximum Amplitudes				
Source Type	Duty Cycle	Microvariability	Long-Term Variability			
Radio Quiet NLSy1s	$4\%^{1}$	$\triangle m \sim 0.05$	$\Delta m \sim 1$			
Radio Quiet Quasars	$10\%^{2}$	$\triangle m \sim 0.10$	$\Delta m \sim 1$			
Radio-Loud Quasars	19% <sup>2</sup>	$\triangle m \sim 0.10$	$\triangle m \sim 1$			
HBL and TeV Blazars	$45\%^{2}$	$\triangle m < 0.15$	$\triangle m < 2$			
LBL Blazars	80% <sup>3</sup>	$\triangle m > 0.20$	$\triangle m \sim 3$			
(1) (Ferrara 2000)	(2) Carini et al	(2003) (3) Miller	and Noble (1006)			

(1) (Ferrara, 2000), (2) Carini et al. (2003), (3) Miller and Noble (1996)







### Polarimetric Results – vRL NLS1 Galaxies



### Conclusions

- Some (but not all) radio-loud narrow line Seyfert
  1s show blazar-like optical variability
  - Radio loudness is a poor indicator
  - Gamma-ray detection is likely required for blazar-like variability.
- Microvariability, DC and polarization are consistently LBL-like when detected.

 Jo948+0022 variability suggests that source of optical emission is a extremely compact region.

### Sample from Yuan et al.(2008)

Object ID	R.A.	Dec.	Z	log(R)
J0100-0200	01:00:32.22	-02:00.46.00	0.227	1.77
IRAS 01506+2554	01:53:28.262	26:09:39.232	0.326	1.40
1H 0323+342	03:24:41.16	34:10:45.86	0.063	2.50
J0706+3901	07:06:25.12	39:01:51.55	0.086	1.21
J0723+5054	07:23:02.33	50:54:48.00	0.203	1.29
J0744+5149	07:44:02.28	51:49:17.50	0.460	1.62
J0804+3853	08:04:09.240	38:53:48.829	0.211	1.18
J0849+5108	08:49:57.977	51:08:29.023	0.583	3.16
IRAS 09426+1926	09:45:29.22	19:15:48.70	0.284	1.56
PMN J0948+0022	09:48:57.317	00:22:25.511	0.584	2.93
J0956+2515	09:56:49.874	25:15:16.196	0.712	3.56
J1038+4227	10:38:59.582	42:27:42.213	0.220	1.30
J1047+4725	10:47:32.688	47:25:32.010	0.800	4.09
J1102+2239	11:02:23.383	22:39:20.686	0.453	1.28
J1140+4622	11:40:47.897	46:22:04.791	0.115	1.36
J1146+3236	11:46:54.289	32:36:52.384	0.465	2.19
J1227+3214	12:27:49.14	32:14:59.00	0.137	2.16
J1246+0238	12:46:50.20	02:40:16.00	0.091	2.38
J1333+4141	13:33:45.469	41:41:27.656	0.225	1.06
J1358+2658	13:58 45.371	26:58:08.483	0.330	1.11
J1405+2657	14:05:04.803	26:57:27.539	0.713	1.09
J1421+2824	14:21:14.057	28:24:52.899	0.538	2.14
J1435+3132	14:35:09.502	31:31:47.971	0.502	2.98
J1443+4725	14:43:18.558	47:25:56.663	0.703	3.03
PKS 1502+036	15:05:06.477	03:26:30.796	0.411	3.53
RX 16290+4007	16:29:01.306	40:07:59.941	0.272	1.61
J1633+4718	16:33:23.57	47:18:58.83	0.116	2.19
J1644+2619	16:44:42.534	26:19:13.305	0.145	2.73
B3 1702+457	17:03:30.41	45:40:47.08	0.061	2.01
J1709+2348	17:09:07.810	23:48:37.760	0.254	1.06
J1713+3523	17:13:04.462	35:23:33.646	0.083	1.05
IRAS 20181-2244	20:21:04.064	-22:35:25.775	0.185	1.57
J2314+2243	23:14:55.89	22:43:22.69	0.169	1.25

Object ID	R.A.	Dec.	$\log(R)$	Bin $\#$	TS	$\operatorname{Flux}(\operatorname{err})$
1H 0323+342	51.2096	34.143	2.50	53	280.31	36.19(2.73)
J0849+5108	132.492	51.1414	3.16	35	790.76	26.34(2.20)
J0948+0022	147.221	0.349773	2.93	53	402.14	39.81(2.32)
J0956 + 2515	149.208	25.2545	3.56	20	95.95	10.24(2.34)
J1443+4725	220.827	47.4324	3.03	49-53	27.81	1.49(0.06)
PKS 1502 $+036$	226.292	3.40703	3.53	43	36.60	9.58(2.71)
J1644+2619	251.177	26.3204	2.73	46-50	52.25	4.24(1.04)
PKS 2004-447	301.98	-44.579	3.80	13-24	53.77	2.26(0.52)

Object ID	R.A.	Dec.	$\log(R)$	Bin $\#$	TS	$\operatorname{Flux}(\operatorname{err})$
J0100-0200	15.1342	-2.01278	1.77	8	9.65	5.87(0.56)
				25	9.52	3.17(2.23)
J0706 + 3901	106.605	39.031	1.21	23	10.30	0.76(.15)
				66	10.50	1.10(0.36)
J0804 + 3853	121.038	38.8969	1.18	34-36	10.77	8.49(0.15)
J1102 + 2239	165.597	22.6558	1.28	15-20	14.57	1.29(0.81)
J1146 + 3236	176.726	32.6145	2.19	5	12.61	3.58(1.66)
J1246 + 0238	191.709	2.67123	2.38	55-66	17.13	1.58(0.60)
J1713 + 3523	258.269	35.3926	1.05	22	12.03	6.21(0.23)
IRAS 20182-2244	305.268	-22.5884	1.57	9	9.42	2.24(1.89)
J2314 + 2243	348.732	22.7236	1.25	50	11.59	5.05(2.54)

### Best Candidate Objects

- Gamma Ray (9<TS<25)</li>
- Optical Variability (including microvariations)
- Strong and Variable Optical Polarization

### Best Future Gamma-Ray Candidates

- J0100-0200
- Jo804+3853
- J1102+2239
- J1146+3236
- IRAS 20182-2244
  (Foschini et al.(2016) recently confirmed 1443+47\*,1644+26, and 2314+22\*)

### Who is Alan Marscher?

- Theorist? or Observational Astronomer?
- Teacher? or Administrator?
- Colleague? and Friend?
- All of the above!

### HAPPY BIRTHDAY ALAN!!